Appl. No: 09/647,087
Amdt. dated _____
Reply to Office action of April 22, 2003

REMARKS

In response to the above-identified Office action, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 21. Support for the amendments to the claims can be found in the above-identified application at page 1, lines 6-13, page 3, lines 3-16, page 5, lines 2-6, page 7, lines 10-12, and page 8, lines 10-15. As such, no new matter has been entered by way of these amendments. In view of these above amendments and the following remarks, Applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application, and allowance of claims 1-4, 6-21 and 23.

DRAFT

The Office has rejected claims 1-4, 6-21, 23 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, asserting that "forming a pocketed coil with different pitches in a middle portion between end portions of the spring" (claim 24), "altering the positions of the coiling elements as the wire is fed through the coiling section" (claim 1), "using the programmable control system to alter these positions as the wire is fed through the coiling station" (claim 21), and a "programmable control system having a profile selection system that selects at least one of the data arrays or tables" (claim 1), were allegedly not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the Applicant, at the time the above-identified application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In response, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 21 as shown above, has cancelled claim 24, and provides the following remarks.

Applicant submits the specification supports and enables "a programmable control system operably linked to said first and second drives to alter the first positions or the first orientations of said first coiling element and the second positions or the second orientations of said second coiling element relative to the wire feed," as recited in claim 1, and "feeding wire through a coiling section so as to form a coil, wherein the programmable control system alters the positions or orientations of the coiling elements to control a diameter and a pitch of the coil according to the selected data array or table," as recited in claim 21. Applicant respectfully directs the Office's attention to page 3, lines 3-16, in the above-identified application, which discloses:

DRAFT

> The programmable control means preferably comprises a programmable logic controller by which computer-numerical-control (CNC) of the coiling section is achieved. Preferably, **the logic c ntroller actuates**

R686207.1

Appl. No: 09/647,087
Amdt. dated _____
Reply to Office action of April 22, 2003

> drive means, most preferably servo motors, by which the positions
> and/ r rientations f the coiling elements can be altered.
>
> Most preferably, control of the coiling unit is exerted by three servo-
> motors: one for the wire feed rolls, one for a coiling element ("finger")
> which controls the diameter of the spring, and one for a coiling element
> ("spreader") which controls the pitch of the spring.
>
> Most preferably, the control means stores a number of data arrays or
> tables which determine the position of the finger and spreader (slave)
> axes in relation to the position of the feed roller (master) axis, for each
> spring profile. Suitable tables may be prepared for each spring type to be
> manufactured, and **the appropriate table selected prior to
> commencement of manufacture of any particular spring type.**
>
> **Each table may consist of many data points, eg several thousand data
> points, resulting in complete control of the spring being formed ...**

(emphasis added). As each table or data array may store many (e.g., several thousand) data

points, the positions and/or orientations of the coiling elements may change according to

these data points from a selected table or data array as the wire is fed to form the coil. In

view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests the Office to

reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Additionally, Applicant submits that claims 1 and 21 are distinguishable and

patentable over the art of record, including U.S. Patent No. 5,444,905 to St. Clair ("St.

Clair"); U.S. Patent No. 4,439,977 to Stumpf ("Stumpf"); and U.S. Patent No. 4,112,721 to

Takase et al. ("Takase"). With regard to St. Clair, none of the teachings in this reference

anticipates or suggests "a programmable control system operably linked to said first and

second drives to alter the first positions or the first orientations of said first coiling element

and the second positions or the second orientations of said second coiling element relative to

the wire feed," as recited in claim 1, or "the programmable control system alters the positions

or orientations of the coiling elements to control a diameter and a pitch of the coil according

to the selected data array or table," as recited in claim 21. Applicant directs the Office's

attention to FIGS. 10, 11 and 13, and col. 5, lines 37-62 of St. Clair, which disclose a bending

roller 81 that bends a wire 15 into a curve to form a coil. Further, and referring now to FIG.

14 and col. 6, lines 3-31, the coil diameter assembly 80 includes cams 84, 85 that engage a

cam follower 86. The movement of the cam follower 86 is in response to the particular

configuration of the cam, which ultimately causes a linkage to move the coil diameter roller

R686207.1

Appl. No: 09/647,087
Amdt. dated ____
Reply to Office action of April 22, 2003

DRAFT

81 according to that configuration. But the assembly 80 operates using only one set of cams 84, 85 at a time, and therefore the diameter of the coils is not controlled according to selected spring profiles.

Applicant refers back to FIG. 13 of St. Clair and further directs the Office's attention to col. 5, lines 45-54. Movement of a spreader cam 91 along a horizontal axis in a "Z" direction as wire 15 passes along side the cam 91 affects the degree to which the convolutions in the coil are spread apart. Specifically, and referring now to FIG. 15 and col. 7, lines 6-13, the pushing action of a spreader cam 25 engages cam followers 94, 95 for pivoting linkage 96 to cause spreader bar 92, and hence cam 91 shown in FIG. 13, to move forward and rearward for more or less spreading. Thus, the configuration of a particular spreader cam 25 ultimately determines a spring's shape. But, again, these spreader cams 25 must be replaced to provide different spring shapes, as stated at col. 7, lines 31-34. Therefore, the spreader cam 91 does not spread apart the convolutions in the coil according to several spring profiles. DRAFT

In contrast, the invention disclosed in the above-identified application uses a program logic controller 8 which selects spring profiles during spring production making it very easy to produce springs of differing form in batches. The spring profiles are stored in data arrays or tables and include data that determine the position of the finger 4 and the spreader 5 during coiling, as disclosed at page 3, lines 10-22 in the application. The controller 8 facilitates this process by being able to alter the orientation of the finger 4 and spreader 5 to provide control of the pitch of the springs as indicated by the spring profiles.

With regard to Takase, none of the teachings in this reference, alone or in combination with the applied art, anticipate or suggest a "a plurality of stored data arrays or tables, each data array or table determines a plurality of the first positions or a plurality of the first orientations of said first coiling element and a plurality of the second positions or a plurality of the second orientations of said second coiling element for a particular coil spring profile," as recited in claim 1, or "providing ... a plurality of data arrays or tables, wherein each of the data arrays or tables determine a plurality of positions or orientations of coiling elements for forming a particular spring profile," as recited in claim 21. Applicant respectfully directs the Office's attention to FIG. 1 and col. 6, lines 14-38 of Takase. Takase

R686207.1

DRAFT

DRAFT

discloses a numerically controlled coil spring manufacturing apparatus. The apparatus shifts a pitch tool 22 from an initial position to a predetermined position in a short time, and the pitch of a coil spring increases drastically from a closely coiled section (i.e., a pitch close to zero) towards a section to be given a predetermined pitch. The pitch of the coil spring at the terminal end portion is then decreased suddenly from the predetermined pitch back to the level corresponding to the close coiling. Thus, the pitch tool 22 moves "rapidly" or "drastically" from a position that leads to close coiling to a position that corresponds to the predetermined pitch. Applicant now directs the Office's attention to Takase at col. 10, lines 46-54. Control data for manufacturing coil springs, such as pitch and diameter data, is inputted into the main memory 210 using a keyboard 200. But the control data inputted into the main memory 210 represents a single spring profile and does not represent choosing at least one from a plurality of spring configurations of the coil spring, since the pitch tool 22 in fact attempts to eliminate variation of pitch along the length of the spring between the ends as explained above.

DRAFT

    With regard to Stumpf, the Office has noted that there are no teachings in this reference which disclose a programmable control means. Accordingly, Stumpf does not disclose an apparatus having a plurality of stored data arrays or tables that each include a spring profile representing a particular spring configuration. Thus, even if there was a motivation to combine the applied references, such as the Takase and Stumpf references, the combined teachings would still fail to disclose or suggest all of the limitations recited in claims 1 and 21 as discussed above. The present invention stores a plurality of spring profiles in data arrays or tables that each determine the position of the finger 4 and the spreader 5 during coiling, as stated at page 3, lines 10-22 in the application. The program logic controller 8 selects the spring profiles during spring production to provide complete flexibility and continuous control of the form of the spring throughout the length of the spring. For instance, a series of springs that are encapsulated as disclosed in the above-identified application for use in a mattress, for example, could be formed with different compression characteristics appropriate to the particular part of the mattress, such as the head, foot or mid-region portions. Thus, the diameter and pitch of the spring may be varied to easily and quickly produce springs of convoluted and specialized forms.

DRAFT

R686207.1

DRAFT

        Further, there are no teachings in the Takase reference which anticipate or suggest "selecting a data array or table according to a desired spring profile," as recited in claim 21. Applicant refers the Office back to Takase at col. 10, lines 46-54, which discloses control data being inputted into the main memory 210 as discussed above earlier. But there is no mechanism that stores control data for different spring profiles, let alone mechanisms for selecting a spring profile from a plurality of available spring profiles. Each time a different type of spring is desired to be made, different spring profile data would have to be inputted into the memory 210. This arrangement precludes manufacturing springs with complex profiles requiring large amounts of different control data. Thus, even if there were a motivation to combine the teachings contained in the applied references, such as Stumpf and Takase, their combined teachings would still fail to teach these limitations. The invention disclosed in the above-identified application provides data arrays or tables that store a plurality of spring profiles as stated at page 3, lines 10-22 in the application. The program logic controller 8 can select spring profiles during spring production making it very easy to switch between different spring profiles and to produce springs of differing form in batches.

        For the reasons stated above, claims 1 and 23 are distinguishable and patentable over the art of record. Since claims 2-4 and 6-20 depend from and contain the limitations of claim 1, and claim 23 depends from and contains the limitations of claim 21, they are patentable in the same manner as claims 1 and 21.   DRAFT

        Additionally, none of the teachings in the art of record, such as Takase and Stumpf, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest "electromagnets engaging each spring as it leaves the coiling unit to substantially dampen excessive oscillation in each spring," as recited in claim 6. Referring to Takase at FIG. 8 and col. 9, lines 1-32, the magnets 138a, 138b cause the rocker arms 134a, 134b to move for opening and closing the passage 128 thereby allowing coil spring 28b to pass through one of passages 130a, 130b, 130c. But the magnets 138a, 138b do not engage the springs 28b, and are not intended to dampen oscillations of the springs 28b. Stumpf does not teach magnets at all, nor any means for dampening excessive oscillations of the coiled springs. Referring to the above-identified application at page 4, lines 9-16, when producing long springs at high speeds, excessive oscillations in the springs can result in machine stoppages. This problem can be reduced or eliminated by dampening excessive oscillations using magnets to engage the springs as they

DRAFT

R686207.1

Appl. No: 09/647,087
Amdt. dated _____
Reply to Office action of April 22, 2003

exit the coiling section. As a result, pocketed spring assemblies of greater depth can be manufactured that have increased comfort for users of assemblies, such as mattresses, which incorporate the pocketed springs assemblies. As such, claim 6 is distinguishable over the applied art and is patentable for this additional reason.

Still further, none of the teachings in the art of record, such as Stumpf and Takase, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest "the programmable control system … linked to the encapsulation section, to control movement of material through the encapsulation section," as recited in claim 7. Applicant respectfully directs the Office's attention to Takase at FIG. 1 and col. 4, lines 4-10, where a microcomputer 80 is illustrated and disclosed. The microcomputer 80 controls movement of a coiling point 20 and a pitch tool 22 during coil spring formation. But Takase does not teach the microcomputer 80 controlling movement of a material through an encapsulation section since there is no encapsulation section disclosed at all in Takase for the microcomputer 80 to control and move the coil spring 28 through. Referring to FIG. 8 and col. 8, lines 57-61, the structure and operation of the selecting device 50 arranged within the coil spring manufacturing apparatus in FIG. 1 is illustrated and described. In particular, a coil spring 28*b* is simply dropped into the device 50 once it is cut from the wire 28, and therefore does not proceed to an encapsulation section.

As mentioned above earlier, Stumpf does not disclose a programmable control system. Referring back to Stumpf at FIG. 10 and col. 8, lines 9-39, an inserter plunger 232 is moved by an air cylinder 234, which is activated by the control switch 224, to insert a compressed spring 36 into a fabric strip 26. But the master timing control 196, which is not even a programmable control system, does not cause the coil spring 36 to advance from the coiler 38 to the spring compressor assembly 42. Moreover, the timing control 196 does not control movement of the spring from the spring compressor assembly 42 to the spring inserter. Thus, combining the teachings contained in Takase and Stumpf, even if there was a motivation to do so, would still fail to teach a programmable control system linked to an encapsulation section to control movement of material through an encapsulation section.

Conventionally, synchronization is achieved by a complex arrangement of cams and gears, as stated at page 1, lines 14-17 in the above-identified application and discussed above in connection with the cited references. Applicant directs the Office to the

R686207.1

above-identified application at page 2, lines 13-19, where it states that the programmable control synchronizes operations of the apparatus, thereby eliminating change cams, gears and clutches, for example. As a result, the present invention provides for reducing the time for changing between products to seconds rather than hours. The controlled, synchronized movement of the material from the coiling section to the encapsulation section, and through the encapsulation section, makes this possible. As such, claim 7 is distinguishable over the applied art and is patentable for this additional reason.

In view of all of the foregoing, it is submitted that claims 1-4, 6-21 and 23 stand in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited. In the event that there are any outstanding matters remaining in the above-identified application, the Office is invited to contact the undersigned to discuss this application.

Respectfully submitted,

DRAFT

Date: _____, 20___

_____
John Campa
Registration No. 49,014

NIXON PEABODY LLP
Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051
Rochester, New York 14603
Telephone: (585) 263-1519
Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

R686207.1